

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/992,222   | 12/17/1997     | WILLIAM A. HOBBS     | INPA:056            | 3574             |
| 75   | 590 11/26/2001 |                      |                     |                  |
| WILLIAM W. KIDD  |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP                   |                |                      | WILEY, DAVID ARMAND |                  |
| 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD., 7TH FLOOR<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90025 |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |

2155 · DATE MAILED: 11/26/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/992,222

Applicant(s)

Hobbs et al.

Examiner

David A. Wiley

Art Unit **2155** 



| <u> </u>   |   |
|--|---|
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |
| Period for Reply   |   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |   |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF<br/>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica</li> </ul>   |   |
| <ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,<br/>be considered timely.</li> </ul>   |   |
| - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per   | eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this                           |
|  | tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).                                    |
| <ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>  | nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any                                |
| Status   | · ·   |
| 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 3</u>  | 11, 2001  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This   | action is non-final.  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under  | e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is<br>fx parte Quay№35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |
| 4) X Claim(s) <u>1, 3-14, 16-19, 21-24, and 31-54</u>  | is/are pending in the applica   |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from considera   |
| 5)   | is/are allowed.   |
| 6) X Claim(s) <u>1, 3-14, 16-19, 21-24, and 31-54</u>  | is/are rejected.  |
| 7)   | is/are objected to.   |
| 8)   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirem   |
| Application Papers   |   |
| 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on  | is/are objected to by the Examiner.   |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a∏ approved b)⊡disapproved.   |
| 12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar   | niner.  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of:  | ı   |
| 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents ha   | ave been received.  |
| 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have   | ave been received in Application No   |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority<br/>application from the International Bur</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the priority</li> </ol> |   |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti   | •   |
| Attachment(s)  |   |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  | 20)  Other:   |

Art Unit: 2155

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are deemed non persuasive. As per the challenge of examiner's Official Notice, the examiner has provided a reference with the storing of multiple instructions for the purpose of speeding up transfers (Greub 5,086,500). With regards to applicants arguments that Gates fails to teach "one or more phase generators" is not correct. Gates has at least one phase generator that can drive a series of signals, the phase generator of Gates does not simple drive one signal and then shut off, the examiner must treat every claim limitation as broadly as possible to ensure the applicant receives proper patent coverage.

The examiner would further like to express that the applicant is arguing limitaions that are not present in the cliams. The claims must be interpreted as breoadly asd possible by the examiner which is what has ben done in this case.

The applicant is encouraged to define in the claims what the predefined bus transactions and bus stimuli are, as the examiner reads them they are anything that can be transmitted on the bus, which means the applicant is trying to gain coverage for the "instruction memory/buffer" which has been around since the beginging of computers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 08/992,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6 and 9-14, 16-19, 21-24, 31-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Gates (5,701,409).
  - a. As for claims 1, 16, 21, 22 and 31-33, Gates teaches a system to test a bus comprising at least one instruction memory to store a predefined bus stimuli instruction and at least one phase generator coupled between the bus and the instruction memory for providing signals to the bus in response to the predefined bus stimuli instruction (abstract) but fails to teach storing more than one command. Official Notice is taken with regards to the storing of multiple commands in an instruction memory for the purpose of speeding up the time needed to process instructions.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an instruction memory for multiple instruction, in Gates, to speed up processing.

b. As for claim 4, it is inherently seen that the IC (phase generator) can also receive signals from the bus.

Art Unit: 2155

- c. As for claims 5, 17 and 23, it is inherently seen that some type of storing of predefined responses must be included for the device to compare results to detect errors.
- d. As for claims 6 and 18, Gates teaches the phase generator includes one digital logic device responsive to the instructions and one phase engine for controlling timing (abstract; col. 2, lines 40-45).
- e. As for claims 9 and 19, Gates teaches a control portion and data portion (col. 5, lines 27-45).
- f. As for claim 10, Gates inherently teaches the control portion includes a flow logic device.
- g. As for claim 11, Gates inherently teaches the phase engine includes at least on logic level translation device.
- h. As for claim 12, it is inherently seen that these phases are included since a PCI bus includes these phases.
- i. As for claims 13, 14, and 24, Gates teaches a data memory coupled to the data portion and that the data portion receives data from the bus (col. 5, lines 27-45).

Regarding claims 34-54, the rejection above covers all the claimed limitations disclosed in these claims.

Application/Control Number: 08/992,222

Art Unit: 2155

- 4. Claims 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gates (5,701,409).
  - a. As for claim 3, Gates inherently teaches the instruction comprises an instruction word (col. 2, lines 40-45). Gates doesn't teach that the instruction word has a predefined length. Official Notice is taken that instruction words of predefined lengths are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have used instruction words of any length because they are only a matter of computer design.
  - b. As for claims 7 and 8, Gates doesn't teach what the digital logic device comprises.

    Official Notice is taken that FPGA's and ASIC's are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have used instruction words of any length because they are only a matter of computer design.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Wiley whose telephone number is (703) 308-5221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 7:00 to 4:00.

Application/Control Number: 08/992,222

Art Unit: 2155

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Ayaz R. Sheikh, can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for this Group is

(703) 305-3718.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35

U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be

addressed to [ayza.sheikh@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO

employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive

information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express

waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the

Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on

February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

David A. Wilev

Page 6

November 16, 2001